

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/750,954	1	2/28/2000	David Hagen	4681-006	7523		
29737	7590	03/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SMITH MO		P	GARG, YOGESH C				
P.O. BOX 2 GREENSBO		27420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3625	3625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annlin	etion No.	Applicant/a)	
		ation No.	Applicant(s)	4
Office Action Summar	09/750		HAGEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	y Examin	ner	Art Unit	
	Yogesh		3625	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on (the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a communication. nirty (30) days, a reply within the source statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the abouths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>29 November</u>	· 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is			
3) Since this application is in condi	ition for allowance exce	pt for formal matters, į	prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under <i>Ex parte</i> (Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-35</u> is/are pending in	the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-35</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	ov the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	•	b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any	•	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	uding the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priority (ınder 35 II.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of		ander 55 0.0.0. g 115	(a)-(a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the price		een received.		
2. Certified copies of the price			ation No	
3. Copies of the certified cop	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)
application from the Interr	, ,			
* See the attached detailed Office a	action for a list of the ce	rtified copies not recei	ived.	
·				
Attachment(c)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	on (PTO 412)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14-		5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		6) [_] Other:		
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summ	mary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	50302

____Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on 11/29/2004 is acknowledged and entered. The applicant has amended claims 19, 25, 32-333 and added new claim 35. Currently, claims 19-35 are pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

2.1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/29/2004 regarding rejection of claims 19-23, 25-28, 31 and 34 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Randle have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the reference Randle does not show: that the remote portals and customer service representatives are all part of the same managed portal network 102 establishing a direct communication link between the remote portal and the customer service representative and that there is no "access gateway" used as a bridge between them (see pages 9-10 of the Remarks). The examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the reference Randle fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the remote portals and customer service representatives are all part of the same managed portal network 102 establishing a direct communication link between the remote portal and the customer service representative and that there is no "access gateway" used as a bridge between them) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of

the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- 2.2. Applicant's arguments, see page 9 of the Remarks, filed 11/29/2004, with respect to claims 32-33 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the amendments to these claims. The rejection of claims has been withdrawn.
- 2.3. Claims 24, 29-30 were rejected USC 103 (a) as being obvious over Randle in view of the Official Notice. The applicant has neither traversed this rejection in view of Official Notice, pointed out any errors in the Official Notice or has demanded an evidence and therefore, as per MPEP-2144.03 [R-1] C Reliance on Common Knowledge in the Art or "Well Known" Prior Art -, the common knowledge or well-known fact considered as Official Notice in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art and the rejection of claims 24, 29-30 is maintained.

This is a Final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-23, 25-28, 31, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Randle (US Patent 5,899,982).

Regarding claim 19, Randle teaches an interactive sales process comprising:

displaying an advertisement on a remote unit (see at least col.6, lines 17-23, "As shown in FIGS. 2A and 2B, the customer operable transceiver screen displays may be controlled by a PC at the customer operable transceiver location. The bank can choose to have advertisements and/or other information about the bank displayed on the screens for customer attraction purposes. ". Note:

the screen corresponds to a remote unit as recited in the application);

unit to a remotely positioned sales agent through the remote unit (see at least col.6, lines 32-44, " It is also a feature of the invention that when the customer registers his/her request for a connection by video with a banker, The customer may register his/her request for a connection by video with the banker by a variety of means, including the pressing of an area or "button" on a touch screen, pressing a key on a keyboard connected to the customer operable transceiver, by voice, and other means known in the art. " Note: the button on the touch screen or a key on the key pad corresponds to a remote control device and the banker to a positioned sales agent);

establishing a direct two way audiovisual communication link between the sales agent and the customer (see at least col.7, lines 10-25, " In the system of the invention, once the banker is available, the request for video connection is directed to the banker and a video connection is made by the banker to the corresponding customer operable transceiver so that a live connection may be established by the banker through the access gateway, and if the customer operable transceiver is so equipped, a two-way video conference between the customer and the banker can take place. If a predetermined period of time passes before a banker is available to take the video call, the call will be directed over other communications link 68 to another banker TB who does not have video access but who can talk to the customer and see if the customer's needs can be handled by voice telephony or

Art.Unit: 3625

audio through the access gateway. This banker TB is typically one of a plurality of bankers with voice access.", and col.7, lines 43-48, " This video connection also contains audio so that the banker and customer can talk to each other about various financial services, products and information if the customer's customer operable transceiver is so equipped. ". Also, see col.6, lines 17-31 which discloses a direct two way audiovisual communication link between the sales agent and the customer); and

presenting content originating with the sales agent on the remote unit for viewing by the customer to promote a sale (see at least col.7, lines 43-56, "This video connection also contains audio so that the banker and customer can talk to each other about various financial services, products and information if the customer's customer operable transceiver is so equipped. Once introductions of the banker is made over the video connection, the banker can cause information to appear on the display screen 36 of the customer operable transceiver. This information may include a variety of financial services, products, and information available to the customer, and may even be an image of a loan application or other document that is specific to a transaction that the customer would like to pursue. ".

Regarding claim 20, Randle discloses that the method of claim 19 wherein presenting content originating with the sales agent comprises presenting a video image of the sales agent on the remote unit (see at least col.7, lines 26-39).

Regarding claim 21, Randle discloses that the method of claim 19 wherein presenting content originating with the sales agent comprises presenting an audio signal from the sales agent and a genie video representation of the sales agent (see at least col.26-48, " Once the video connection is enabled, an interactive video of the banker is shown

Art Unit: 3625. ...

on a portion, or possibly all, of the display screen 36 at the customer operable transceiver. FIG. 4 shows a display screen 36 at the customer operable transceiver with a video of a banker shown on a portion of the display screen 36. It is preferable that the respective videos of the banker and of the customer are shown on the periphery or in a corner of the respective display screen and that it does not take up more than about 1/4 of the area of the display screen. This video connection also contains audio so that the banker and customer can talk to each other about various financial services, products and information if the customer's customer operable transceiver is so equipped. ". Note: the image of a banker shown in a small area of the screen in Randle corresponds to presenting the genie video of the sales agent. The banker, as analyzed above corresponds to a sales agent who sells banks products/services and also presents audio signals).

Regarding claim 22, Randle discloses that the method of claim 19 further

comprising allowing the sales agent to direct web pages to the customer for viewing on
the remote unit (see at least col.10, claim 17, " The banking service platform of claim 1 wherein
said access gateway provides access to the internet. ", and col.3, line 66-col.4, line 17, "....A

client server 30 is operatively interconnected through communication controller 50 and the access
gateway 40 to communicate with the transceivers R1, ..., RN and the central information processor 20.

The client server 30 handles processing and transmitting communications to and from the customer
operable transceivers R1, ..., RN and enables a customer using the transceivers R1, ..., RN to access
and control items within the categories of financial information, products and services maintained in the
data storage files of the central information processor 20 of the bank and the financial and non-financial
products and services of other providers P1, P2, ..., PN that are accessible through the gateway 40
interconnected with bank central processor 20. As noted, the banking service platform 10 may also
include a communications controller 50 interconnected to the client server 30 and the transceivers R1, ...

RN to route communications between said transceiver R1, ..., RN and said client server 30. " Note:

Art Unit: 3625

Randle discloses that through gateway 40 an access is provided by the bank central process to Internet via a client-server architecture to other providers for presenting their products on the customer's screen and that would mean providing web pages from the other providers).

Regarding claim 23, Randle discloses that the method of claim 19 further comprising soliciting payment information from the customer (see at least col.4, lines 51-62. Note: When realizing payment for products sold it would be inherent to solicit payment information from the customer.).

Regarding claims 25 and 35, Randle teaches a method for enabling sales, comprising: providing a plurality of trained sales agents, accepting an incoming call with information about an advertisement a potential customer was watching, directing said incoming call to one of said plurality of trained sales agents based on said information; directing a multimedia, interactive, videophone communication from said one of said plurality of trained sales agents to said customer including content select from the group consisting of web pages, pre-filmed video clips, statistical data, still images, and a genie; and soliciting payment information from the customer to consummate the sale (see at least col.5, line 44-col.7, line 64. The limitations of claim 25 are closely parallel to the claims 19-23 analyzed above. Randle discloses the presence of plurality of sales agents in the form of bankers who sell bank products/services and the use of videophone in interactive communication between the

customer and the sales agent and the use of video clips, images, data (see financial services information, which also includes statistical data), web pages by accessing the other providers on the Internet using a client-server architecture). Randle also discloses interacting via TV (see at least col.5, lines 55-67 which discloses using an interactive TV to communicate between the consumers and service platform. It is already analyzed in claim 25 that a direct communication link is established between a customer and service representative to discuss on the subject being disclosed and displayed [see col.6, lines 17-31] which covers the feature of receiving an incoming call about an advertisement being shown on the TV.

Randle teaches that the method of claims 26 and 27 wherein directing said incoming call to one of said plurality of trained sales agents comprises directing said incoming call to a sales agent within a managed portal network or outside a managed portal network (see at least col.5, line 44-col.6, line 16. The banking service platform network including the gateway 40 corresponds to a portal network from where the customer's call is directed to any of the bankers or other provider's site on the Internet).

Regarding claim 28, see col.4, lines 51-62).

Regarding claim 31, see col.6, line 17-col.7, line 64. Randle discloses displaying advertisements/information about bank products/services and letting the consumer interact via video-phone to inquire about the advertisements/menu/information. The

data/information being presented to the consumer in the advertisements correspond to the indicia embedded in the advertisement because these advertisements are being watched on a screen and the indicia embedded cannot refer to any indicia inserted in a physical product.

Regarding claim 34, see col.5, lines 55-67, and Fig.1, wherein use of ATM network, telephone, etc. teach the use of a wire-based network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4.1. Claims 24, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Randle further in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claims 24 and 29-30, Randle discloses an interactive sales process comprising soliciting payment information for products sold as analyzed in claims 23 and 28 respectively. Randle further discloses ordering pizza or flowers (see at least col.4, line 51-col.5, line 4) but does not state generating shipping information for the customer after soliciting payment information and providing information relating to shipping. The examiner takes Official Notice of the notoriously well-known fact and its necessity of generating shipping information for the customer after closing a sale of a product and providing information related to shipping to the customer for the obvious reason of enabling delivery of the ordered product to the customer. In view of the Official Notice, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Randle to incorporate the feature of generating shipping information for the customer after soliciting payment information because, as explained above to ensure delivery of the ordered item to the customer's destination.

4.2. Claims 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Randle further in view of Reichardt et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0056219 A1), hereinafter, referred to Reichardt.

Regarding claim 32, Randle teaches accepting an incoming call from a set top box associated with a television as analyzed in claims 25 and 35 above. Randle does

not disclose receiving channel and timestamp information corresponding to the channel on which and when advertisement was viewed by the potential customer on the television. Reichardt discloses the importance and the need for synchronizing the timing of displaying the linked interactive advertisement (see at least paragraphs 017, 0090 and 0107) because it helps to correlate, find and retrieve the content of a particular advertisement selected by the user and also for facilitating collection of advertisement revenues. In view of Reichardt, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Randle to incorporate the feature of receiving channel and timestamp information corresponding to the channel on which and when advertisement was viewed by the potential customer on the television because it would help the system to correlate, find and retrieve the content of a particular advertisement selected by the user and also for facilitating collection of advertisement revenues, as disclosed in Reichardt.

4.3. Claims 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Randle in view of Reichardt and further in view of Barros (US Patent 6,307,573).

Regarding claim 33, Randle in view of Reichardt teaches accepting an incoming call from a set top box associated with a television as analyzed and receiving channel information corresponding to the channel on which the advertisement was viewed by the potential customer on the television and timestamp information corresponding to when advertisement was viewed by the potential customer on the television as analyzed in claim 32 above. Randle does not disclose x-y coordinate information, said x-y

Application/Control Number: 09/750,954

Page 12

Art Unit: 3625

coordinate information relating to allocation selected on the television screen by the potential customer. However, Barros discloses this limitation, see col.11, line 6-col.15, line 46 and Figs. 2b, 2c and 3-4. Col.12, lines 45-52 teach, , ".... In operation 602 of FIG. 4, the user points to one feature on the map to view text data about the feature. The software determines the slot or coordinate of the cursor and finds the slot designation or x,y coordinate in column 211 of the database, 203. The software retrieves the name from column 212 and text description of the feature from column 213. It displays this text data in the message box, 614 and/or the name in the label, 613, positioning the label near the feature. "). In view of Barros, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Randle in view of Reichardt to incorporate the feature of disclosing x-y coordinate information, said x-y coordinate information relating to allocation selected on the television screen by the potential customer because it would help the system to correlate, find and retrieve the content of a particular advertisement selected by the user, as disclosed in Barros.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (i) US Patent 6,498,783 to Lin teaches the use of the information on channel and timestamp to calculate the average data transmission rate available in each channel in a data communication (see at least abstract)

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C Garg whose telephone number is 703-306-0252. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

YCG March 2, 2005